

MOVEMENT ON 9/11 TRUTH!!!

1)

**SANDER HICKS CHALLENGES
THE NOT-QUITE-LITERATE
STEPHEN LEMONS**

2)

**BBC BROADCAST, ON 9/11 ITSELF,
SAID WTC 7 “WAS COLLAPSING OR
HAD COLLAPSED”—20 MINUTES
BEFORE
THE BUILDING FELL!!!**

3)

**TIKKUN PUBLISHES DAVID RAY
GRIFFIN!!! SHERWOOD ROSS
ON THE IMPLICATIONS
FOR IMPEACHMENT!!!**

• • • • • • • • • •
Enemies List:

- 1) The Left Gatekeepers
 - 2) Frank Rich, Amy Goodman, Matthew Rothschild, *The Nation*, etc., etc.
 - 3) The “Democratic Party”
 - 4) The Neo-Conservatives
 - 5) The Blinded Nation
- • • • • • • • • •

TO: READERS OF *ANGB* AND OTHER RECIPIENTS
 FROM: EL
 DATE: FEBRUARY 14, 2007
 RE: MORE ON 9/11 TRUTH

• • • • • • • • • •

1)

<http://www.voxpopnet.net/about.html> Sander Hicks, along with many others from the ranks of the 9/11 Truth movement, attended the <http://911accountability.org/accountability/> 9/11 Accountability Conference in Chandler, Arizona, this past February 23-25. There, he met the idiot-braggart and 9/11-official-version-advocate Stephen Lemons, <http://phoenixnewtimes.com/blogs/?p=265> whose blog makes it plain that there's no question with Lemons—as there is with <http://www.ericlarsen.net/foodforthought8.html> Frank Rich—as to whether he's a gatekeeper who's just acting dumb or whether he *really is* dumb.

When Sander learned that <http://www.phoenixnewtimes.com/> the *Phoenix New Times* had assigned none other than Stephen Lemons to cover the 9/11 Conference, he was understandably less than happy, and he wrote a cogent, articulate, and carefully reasoned letter to the Editor-in-Chief of the *New Times* rick.barrs@newtimes.com, Rick Barrs.

I urge you to read Sander's letter, which you can do <http://www.ericlarsen.foodforthought8.1.2007.html> either by clicking here or by clicking <http://sander.gnn.tv> here. After that (if you haven't already), read <http://phoenixnewtimes.com/blogs/?p=265> Stephen Lemons' appalling and nasty blog entry.

Then decide for yourself who's on more solid ground, Hicks or Lemons, who's the more articulate, who's got the stronger arguments—keeping in mind who is and who isn't the author of an http://www.amazon.com/Big-Wedding-Whistle-Blowers-Cover-up/dp/097527631X/sr=1-1/qid=1170430940/ref=pd_bbs_sr_1/104-4231058-3189532?ie=UTF8&s=books important and original book about 9/11 truth, and who is and who isn't the author of a new and equally important article on AlterNet <http://www.alternet.org/stories/45726/> about Mohammad Atta as a patsy for the CIA.

Once all is done, write letters to rick.barrs@newtimes.com Rick Barrs, and write them also to the slick-talk loser of this particular and extraordinarily important argument, <http://phoenixnewtimes.com/blogs/?p=265> Stephen Lemons.

2)

You've probably—although *not* through the *New York Times*—already heard the news about the BBC man who broadcast live, on the afternoon of 9/11/01 itself, that World Trade Center tower 7 “had collapsed or was collapsing,” while that very building remained (over the newsman’s shoulder) visible to viewers around the world, still standing stalwart and strong. I first saw this piece of news on Alex Jones’s Prison Planet.com, http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/bbc_wtc7_videos.html where it still appears, now with additional visuals. If you like, you can also <http://portland.indymedia.org/en/2007/02/354768.shtml> take a look here.

Why is this big news? Well, because, it shows that the BBC was not reporting events as they unfolded. And that would mean? Well, that the BBC must have been reporting *from a script of some kind* that had been provided in advance of events.

In a normal world, evidence of this kind could be enough to crack a criminal case and convict the perpetrator. Is our world any longer so normal even as *that*?

Watch closely to find out whether the BBC scandal takes hold or, more likely, is “disappeared” from all mainstream news. Meanwhile, <http://www.informationclearinghouse.info/article17216.htm> go to this site and read the Paul Craig Roberts piece there, entitled “Americans Have Lost Their Country.”

It may well enough be true that it’s already too late, but I’m against giving up. Here’s what people *must* do: Study the truth, read the truth, tell the truth, insist on the truth. What I mean is the truth in plain words, again and again, until it can no longer be hidden, denied, or refuted.

3)

Finally, more good news and bad, just as Sander Hicks brings good news, Stephen Lemons bad. The good here is that <http://www.tikkun.org/> *Tikkun* magazine, under the editorship of http://www.tikkun.org/rabbi_lerner Rabbi Michael Lerner, has published a long essay by http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/David_Ray_Griffin David Ray Griffin called <http://www.tikkun.org/magazine/tik0703/frontpage/empire911> “The American Empire and 9/11.” This is a significant piece of news—a courageous gesture at least of seriously *entertaining* the truth if not necessarily (yet?) embracing it. *Tikkun* and its editor show here a level of seriousness and independence in journalism of a kind no longer visible in, say, the *New York Times*. Imagine—just *imagine*—the deceitful and sneering gatekeeper Frank Rich doing even *half* as much, a quarter, a *sixteenth*.

Griffin’s piece takes up the very great question of exactly what time it really was when Dick Cheney, the morning of 9/11, actually entered the “PEOC” under the White House (PEOC means Presidential Emergency Operations Center). There are three

“versions” of what that time was—or, perhaps, there are two lies and one truth—and the high significance of the timing has to do with whether Cheney was or was not there in time to give the order to *allow the Pentagon to be attacked*. Other even more criminal and more incriminating Cheney questions may also have to do with this question of entry-time into the PEOC.

In Tikkun we’re lucky, and in another thing we’re also lucky: And *that* good luck is that none other than <http://www.ericlarsen.net/foodforthought5.1.2007.html> the wonderful Sherwood Ross has devoted a piece to Griffin and hence to these very questions about Cheney, PEOC, and exact times. The piece is overwhelming in more ways than one, and certainly it’s not to be missed by anyone interested in the truth. I urge you to read it, which you can do simply by clicking

<http://www.ericlarsen.net/foodforthought8.2.2007.html>

HERE

EL
March 3, 2007